UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,273	03/24/2004	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977-106004	4114
26171 FISH & RICHA	7590 08/20/200 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022	2	NGUYEN, DUNG T		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/807,27	3	SHUNPEI YAMAZ	ZAKI
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Dung Nguy	/en	2871	
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STAT WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be an after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the set	CUTORY PERIOD FOR REGER, FROM THE MAILING railable under the provisions of 37 CFF he mailing date of this communication field above, the maximum statutory pe or extended period for reply will, by strice later than three months after the mint. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no eve riod will apply and wil atute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be tir I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)⊠ This action is FI 3)□ Since this applic	ommunication(s) filed on <u>2</u> NAL. 2b) ☐ 1 ation is in condition for allo ance with the practice und	This action is no wance except	for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 43-102 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers	is/are rejected. s/are objected to. are subject to restriction an	drawn from cor			
10) The drawing(s) fi Applicant may not Replacement draw	is objected to by the Exampled on is/are: a) arequest that any objection to wing sheet(s) including the contaction is objected to by the	accepted or b)[the drawing(s) be rection is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119				
a) All b) Son 1. Certified of 2. Certified of 3. Copies of application	is made of a claim for fore ne * c) None of: opies of the priority docum opies of the priority docum the certified copies of the p n from the International Bun detailed Office action for a	nents have beer nents have beer priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's F 3) Information Disclosure Sta	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO/SB/08))	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/807,273 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment dated 05/28/2008 has been received and entered. Claims 43-102 are pending in the application.

Applicant's arguments dated 05/28/2008 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 43-102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's submitted prior art, Kondo et al., JP 7-261181, in view of Funada et al., JP 53-048542.

Regarding the above claims, Kondo et al. disclose a liquid crystal display (LCD) device (figures 1-3) comprising:

- . first and second substrate (7);
- . a liquid crystal layer containing liquid crystal molecules (5) with parallel field, in which the molecules are parallel with the surface of the first substrate in both case of off state (see figure 1c) and on state (see figure 1d);
 - . a switching (thin film transistor);
 - . a black matrix (2)

Kondo et al., however, do not disclose a transparent conductive material formed over the second substrate. Funada et al. does disclose a transparent conductive material (4) formed over the second substrate (see figure 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Kondo et al. display having an electrode over the upper substrate as shown by Funada et al in order to improve display characteristics and obtain an LCD with a fast response (see English Translation of JP-53-48542, page 5, line 11).

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,273 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN 08/18/2008 /Dung T. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871